

SUBMITTED June 11, 2025

APPROVED November 13, 2025

PUBLISHED ONLINE January 21, 2026

FINAL FORMATTED VERSION August 11, 2026

ASSOCIATE EDITOR

Prof. Dra. Adriana Passarella Gerola

Influence of chloride, sulfate, and nitrate ions in modified Watts electrolytes on the morphology and corrosion resistance of nickel electrodeposits

 Gecilio Pereira da Silva ^[1] *

 Valdessorio Farias Dantas ^[2]

 Luiz Ferreira da Silva Filho ^[3]

 Zilvam Melo dos Santos ^[4]

 Richelly Nayhene de Lima ^[5]

 Eduardo Lins de Barros Neto ^[6]

[1] gecilio@ufersa.edu.br
[4] zilvammelo@ufersa.edu.br
Engineering Center, Federal Rural
University of the Semiarid (UFERSA),
Mossoró, Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil

[2] valdessorio.dantas@gmail.com
[3] luiz.filho@ufersa.edu.br
Engineering Center, Federal Rural
University of the Semiarid (UFERSA),
Mossoró, Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil

[5] richellylima04@gmail.com
Directorate of People Development
and Quality of Life, State University of
Rio Grande do Norte (UERN), Mossoró,
Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil

[6] eduardo.lins@ufrn.br
Department of Food Engineering,
Federal University of Rio Grande do
Norte (UFRN), Natal, Rio Grande do
Norte, Brazil

* Corresponding author.

ABSTRACT: Nickel electrodeposits have extensive industrial applications due to their resistance, durability, and ability to extend the service life of metallic components. In this study, nickel coatings were obtained from modified Watts electrolytes predominantly containing chloride (ENC), sulfate (ENS), or nitrate (ENN) anions. The operational conditions were kept constant: Ni^{2+} concentration of $1.34 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$, H_3BO_3 concentration of $0.73 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$, temperature of 50°C , pH 4.0, stirring rate of 100 rpm, and electrolysis time of 15 minutes. The influence of these anions on coating morphology, thickness, cathodic current efficiency, and corrosion resistance was evaluated. Surface morphology was examined by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), while electrochemical behavior was assessed by Linear Potentiodynamic Polarization (LPP) and Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) in a 3.0% NaCl solution. The results indicated that ENC and ENS coatings exhibited greater thickness and higher current efficiency than ENN coatings. Morphologically, ENS deposits showed predominantly nodular structures, ENC coatings exhibited a homogeneous nodular-dendritic morphology, and ENN coatings presented irregular and porous surfaces. Electrochemical analyses revealed that ENS coatings displayed more noble corrosion behavior ($E_{\text{corr}} = -384.0 \text{ mV}$) and higher corrosion resistance, whereas ENN coatings showed inferior performance ($E_{\text{corr}} = -706.0 \text{ mV}$). These findings demonstrate that chloride, sulfate, and nitrate anions significantly affect the morphological and electrochemical properties of nickel electrodeposits.

Keywords: corrosion; electrochemistry; electrodeposition; nickel.

Influência dos íons cloreto, sulfato e nitrato em eletrólitos de Watts

modificados na morfologia e resistência à corrosão de eletrodepósitos de níquel

RESUMO: Eletrodepósitos de níquel apresentam ampla aplicação industrial devido a sua resistência, durabilidade e capacidade de ampliar a vida útil de componentes metálicos. Neste estudo, eletrodepósitos de níquel foram obtidos a partir de banhos de Watts modificados, contendo predominantemente os ânions cloreto (ENC), sulfato (ENS) ou nitrato (ENN), mantendo-se constantes as condições operacionais: Ni^{2+} na concentração de $1,34 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$, H_3BO_3 na concentração de $0,73 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$, temperatura de 50°C , pH 4,0, taxa de agitação de 100 rpm e tempo de eletrólise de 15 minutos. Avaliou-se a influência desses ânions na morfologia, na espessura dos depósitos, no rendimento de corrente catódica e na resistência à corrosão. As análises morfológicas foram realizadas por Microscopia Eletrônica de Varredura (MEV), e os ensaios eletroquímicos, por Polarização Linear Potenciodinâmica (PLP) e Espectroscopia de Impedância Eletroquímica (EIE), em solução aquosa de NaCl 3,0%. Os resultados mostraram que os eletrodepósitos ENC e ENS apresentaram maiores espessuras e maior rendimento de corrente em relação aos eletrodepósitos ENN. Morfologicamente, os depósitos ENS exibiram estruturas predominantemente nodulares, os revestimentos ENC apresentaram morfologia nodular-dendrítica homogênea, e os revestimentos ENN apresentaram superfícies irregulares e porosas. Do ponto de vista eletroquímico, os revestimentos ENS exibiram comportamento mais nobre ($E_{\text{corr}} = -384,0 \text{ mV}$) e maior resistência à corrosão, enquanto os revestimentos ENN apresentaram desempenho inferior ($E_{\text{corr}} = -706,0 \text{ mV}$). Conclui-se que os ânions cloreto, sulfato e nitrato afetam significativamente as propriedades morfológicas e eletroquímicas dos eletrodepósitos de níquel.

Palavras-chave: corrosão; eletrodeposição; eletroquímica; níquel.

1 Introduction

Nickel electrodeposits are widely used in industrial applications due to their high hardness, mechanical strength, good ductility, and excellent corrosion resistance (Abbasi-Amandi *et al.*, 2021; Dibari, 2000; Ahmad, 2006). Electrodeposition is one of the most established methods for obtaining these coatings, as its operational parameters directly influence their properties, including purity level, phase composition, microstructure, and coating thickness. Among the key variables are electrolyte governing the electrodeposition process composition, current density, pH, and temperature (Mubshrah *et al.*, 2025; Oliveira *et al.*, 2022; El-Hallag; Elsharkawy; Hammad, 2021; Wang *et al.*, 2021; Ahmad, 2006; Karayannis; Patermarakis, 1995).

Traditionally, nickel coatings are produced using Watts-type electrolytes, in which the selection of specific nickel salts is justified by the influence that sulfate and chloride anions exert both on the electrolyte behavior and on the properties of the resulting coatings (Chat-Wilk *et al.*, 2021; Rusu *et al.*, 2012; Dibari, 2000; Ahmad, 2006; Karayannis; Patermarakis, 1995).

In the literature, references concerning the influence of anions on the electrodeposition process and on the characteristics of nickel electrodeposits are scarce.

Therefore, this study aims to evaluate the effect of chloride (Cl^-), sulfate (SO_4^{2-}), and nitrate (NO_3^-) anions in nickel electrolytes on the corrosion resistance of nickel coatings, contributing to a deeper understanding of anionic effects in modified Watts baths.

This study presents the theoretical foundations of nickel electrodeposition and electrochemical techniques applied to corrosion studies in Section 2. Section 3 details the experimental methods employed, including Linear Potentiodynamic Polarization (LPP), Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS), and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), to comparatively assess the corrosion resistance of nickel coatings and their respective surface morphologies. The influence of Cl^- , SO_4^{2-} , and NO_3^- anions in the electrolytes on cathodic current efficiency, morphology, and corrosion resistance is presented in Section 4, Results and Discussion.

2 Theoretical foundations

This section contextualizes the general principles of nickel electrodeposition by Watts electrolytes and details the specific electrochemical techniques used to analyze the corrosion resistance properties of the coatings. The first part addresses the basic mechanism of nickel-plating and the role of the Watts bath components. The second part focuses on foundational theories for corrosion analysis, establishing the Butler-Volmer kinetics and Tafel extrapolation for determining corrosion parameters by LPP, alongside the fundamentals of EIS interpreted through Nyquist and Bode plots to evaluate the interface resistance.

2.1 Nickel electrodeposition

Nickel electrodeposition is a versatile process that enables the production of coatings on various substrates with both decorative and engineering characteristics. These electrodeposits are typically bright, polished, and protective, and are generally synthesized from solutions that deposit pure nickel (Rudnik, 2024; Abbasi-Amandi *et al.*, 2021; Dibari, 2000; Oriňáková *et al.*, 2006).

During nickel-plating, process the anode, composed of metallic nickel, gradually dissolves, releasing Ni^{2+} ions into the electrolyte to replenish those consumed during deposition on the substrate. This mechanism ensures a stable ion concentration, allowing the electrodeposition process to continue efficiently over long durations (Dibari, 2000; Oriňáková *et al.*, 2006).

Industrial nickel-plating baths and their operating conditions are typically based on the Watts formulation. This electrolyte generally contains nickel sulfate (225 to 410 g L^{-1}), nickel chloride (30 to 90 g L^{-1}), and boric acid (30 to 45 g L^{-1}), operating at temperatures between 44 °C and 71 °C, with pH values ranging from 3.0 to 4.2 and current densities between 1 and 11 A dm^{-2} (Nickel Institute, 2022; Dibari, 2000; Ahmad, 2006). Within this system, nickel sulfate acts as the main source of Ni^{2+} ions, while nickel chloride serves as a secondary source, primarily assisting in anode dissolution and enhancing the electrolyte's conductivity. Boric acid helps stabilize the solution's pH and contributes to the formation of ductile, high-quality deposits (Nickel Institute, 2022; Dibari, 2000; Ahmad, 2006; Dennis; Such, 1993).

2.2 Electrochemical techniques applied to corrosion analysis

Electrochemical methods for corrosion analysis offer high sensitivity and enable the real-time determination of corrosion rates, as well as the evaluation of coating protection and durability. Among the most widely used techniques are Linear Potentiodynamic Polarization (LPP) and Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS). While LPP provides valuable kinetic and quantitative information, it causes a significant perturbation of the system, which may alter the material surface. In contrast, EIS applies only small perturbations, allowing the identification of charge transfer, diffusion processes, and the presence of protective films without significantly modifying the interface. Consequently, the parameters obtained from one technique can be used to support and validate the results of the other, reducing uncertainties, preventing misinterpretations, and offering a more comprehensive and consistent understanding of the material's corrosion behavior (Sheetal *et al.*, 2025; Romaniv *et al.*, 1989).

Linear Potentiodynamic Polarization is based on the application of a potential range around the corrosion potential to describe the behavior of the resulting current variation. This behavior is governed by the Butler–Volmer equation, which is expressed in Equation 1 (Bard; Faulkner, 2000; Marshall, 2018; Romaniv *et al.*, 1989).

$$i = i_{\text{corr}} \left[\exp \left(\frac{\alpha_a F (E - E_{\text{corr}})}{RT} \right) - \exp \left(\frac{\alpha_c F (E - E_{\text{corr}})}{RT} \right) \right] \quad (1)$$

Where i_{corr} is the corrosion current (A), E is the applied potential (V), E_{corr} is the corrosion potential (V), α_a and α_c are the anodic and cathodic charge transfer coefficients, respectively, F is the Faraday constant (96,485.33 C mol⁻¹), R is the ideal gas constant (8.314 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹), and T is the temperature (K). Equation 1 is also valid for current density responses, j (A cm⁻²).

For small overpotentials ($|E - E_{\text{corr}}| \leq 30$ mV), the exponential terms in Equation 1 can be linearized, resulting in Equation 2 (Bard; Faulkner, 2000; Roberge, 1999).

$$i \approx i_{\text{corr}} \frac{F}{RT} (\alpha_a + \alpha_c) (E - E_{\text{corr}}) \quad (2)$$

Equation 2 represents the region in which the applied potential and the resulting current follow a linear relationship, allowing the graphical estimation of E_{corr} and i_{corr} values through the extrapolation of Tafel plots. These curves describe the electrode behavior under strong polarization, either anodic or cathodic, and are derived from Equation 1. Equations 3 and 4 correspond to the anodic and cathodic Tafel expressions, respectively (Bard; Faulkner, 2000; Roberge, 1999).

$$\log \frac{i}{i_{\text{corr}}} = \left(\frac{\alpha_a F}{2.303 RT} \right) (E - E_{\text{corr}}) \quad (3)$$

$$\log \frac{i}{i_{corr}} = \left(\frac{\alpha_b F}{2.303 RT} \right) (E - E_{corr}) \quad (4)$$

These equations provide the necessary information to determine the kinetic parameter i_{corr} , which is required for calculating the corrosion rate using Equation 5 (ASTM International, 2015; Jones, 1995).

$$CR = \frac{K i_{corr} EW}{\rho} \quad (5)$$

Where CR is the corrosion rate (mm year^{-1}), K is a conversion constant, 3.27×10^{-3} ($\text{mm g } \mu\text{A}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1} \text{year}^{-1}$), EW is the equivalent weight of the metal (equivalent-gram), and ρ is the metal density (g cm^{-3}).

EIS is based on measuring a system's resistance to the flow of alternating current over a given frequency range. This resistance is specifically referred to as impedance, which is expressed by Equation 6 (Hallemans *et al.*, 2023; Bard; Faulkner, 2000).

$$Z(\omega) = \frac{E(\omega)}{I(\omega)} \quad (6)$$

Where Z is the impedance (Ω), E denotes the electric potential (V), and I corresponds to the current (A). All these variables are functions of ω , which represents the frequency of the alternating current. Another mathematical representation of impedance is given by Equation 7 (Hallemans *et al.*, 2023; Bard; Faulkner, 2000).

$$Z(\omega) = Z'(\omega) + jZ''(\omega) \quad (7)$$

In this representation, Z' correspond to the real part of the impedance, or the resistance of the circuit, and Z'' represents the imaginary part, or the reactance of the circuit, representing the opposition to current flow due to the system's capacitance or inductance, and j is the imaginary unit ($\sqrt{-1}$).

The impedance of an electrochemical system is often represented by the Randles equivalent circuit model, as expressed in Equation 8 (Hallemans *et al.*, 2023; Bard; Faulkner, 2000).

$$Z(\omega) = R_s + \frac{1}{R_{ct} + j\omega C_{dl}} \quad (8)$$

Where R_s is the electrolyte resistance (Ω), R_{ct} is the charge transfer resistance (Ω), and C_{dl} is the double-layer capacitance (F). The resistance and capacitance values in Equation 8 are obtained from Nyquist and Bode plots resulting from the application of the technique.

The Nyquist plot is a graphical representation of Equation 7, and when associated with a Randles circuit, it typically takes the form of a semicircle whose diameter corresponds to R_{ct} , indicating the resistance of the electrode/solution interface to electron transfer in an electrochemical reaction (Lazanas; Prodromidis, 2025; Halleman *et al.*, 2023; Bard; Faulkner, 2000).

Bode plots are curves that facilitate the interpretation of the system's behavior across a range of analyzed frequencies. One of these curves relates the phase angle (θ) to the logarithm of the frequency, allowing the evaluation of the coating or interfacial layer resistance based on the maximum magnitude of θ and the frequency range over which this angle remains stable. The greater the layer resistance, the more stable θ remains with respect to frequency variation.

The other curve relates the impedance modulus ($|Z|$) to the logarithm of the frequency and provides information about the electrochemical processes involved, such as charge transfer, diffusion, and capacitive behavior. Comparatively, if a coating exhibits higher $|Z|$ values than another, it indicates greater resistance to current flow (Bard; Faulkner, 2000; Walter, 1986).

3 Experimental procedure

This section details the experimental procedures established to investigate the correlation between electrolyte anion composition and the properties of the resulting nickel coatings. The methodology has been structured into five stages, i.e., the preparation of anion-modified Watts electrolytes; the galvanostatic electrodeposition process onto SAE 1020[®] carbon steel substrates; the determination of deposition efficiency parameters, including mass, efficiency and theoretical thickness; the morphological characterization of the coatings; and finally, the evaluation of anticorrosion performance through electrochemical corrosion tests in 3.0% NaCl environment.

3.1 Preparation of electrolytes

The solutions used in this study were prepared using analytical-grade reagents and distilled water. Table 1 shows the chemical composition of the Watts nickel bath used as a reference. Table 2 presents the compositions of the modified Watts electrolytes, characterized by the predominance of sulfate, chloride, and nitrate ions, respectively, prepared in stoichiometric proportions to maintain nickel ion concentrations equivalent to those of the reference bath in each case.

Table 1 ►

Chemical composition of the Watts electrolyte used as reference.
Source: Ahmad (2006)

Components	Concentration / mol L ⁻¹
NiSO ₄ ·6H ₂ O	1.14
NiCl ₂ ·6H ₂ O	0.20
H ₃ BO ₃	0.73

Table 2►

Compositions of electrolytes with predominance of chloride (1), sulfate (2), and nitrate (3) ions.

Source: research data

Electrolytes	Components	Concentration / mol L ⁻¹
1	NiCl ₂ ·6H ₂ O	1.34
	H ₃ BO ₃	0.73
2	NiSO ₄ ·6H ₂ O	1.34
	H ₃ BO ₃	0.73
3	Ni(NO ₃) ₂ ·6H ₂ O	1.34
	H ₃ BO ₃	0.73

3.2 Electrodeposition

Nickel electrodepositions were performed in a conventional single-compartment Pyrex[®] glass cell with a total volume of 50 mL. The working electrodes were made of SAE 1020[®] carbon steel embedded in polyester resin, with an exposed circular geometric area of approximately 1.0 cm². A rectangular nickel plate (99.9% purity), with an approximate area of 6.4 cm² was used as the counter electrode.

Prior to the electrodeposition, the working electrodes were mechanically polished using silicon carbide papers of progressively finer grit sizes (220, 400, 600, 800, and 1,200 mesh), followed by chemical degreasing in a 10% (w/v) NaOH solution and activation in a 10% (v/v) HCl solution. After each step, the electrodes were rinsed with distilled water.

Electrodeposition was performed in galvanostatic mode using a Metrohm Autolab[®] PGSAT204 potentiostat/galvanostat, with the electrodes positioned approximately 2.5 cm apart and applying a current density of 10 A·dm⁻². The process was conducted for 15 minutes at 50 °C, pH 4.0, under constant stirring at 100 rpm, using a Teflon[®]-coated magnetic stir bar.

3.3 Deposited masses and cathodic current efficiencies

To measure the deposited masses, an analytical balance model AY220 from Shimadzu[®] with four-digit precision was used. The theoretical mass was calculated based on Faraday's law of electrolysis, as shown in Equation 9.

$$m = \frac{M \cdot i \cdot \Delta t}{n \cdot F} \quad (9)$$

Where m is the mass of nickel deposited (g), M is the molar mass of the element (g·mol⁻¹), i is the current used (A), n is the number of electrons involved in the reaction, F is Faraday's constant (96,485.33 C·mol⁻¹), and Δt is the electrolysis time (s).

The cathodic current efficiency ($R(\%)$) was calculated using Equation 10.

$$R(\%) = \frac{m_d}{m_t} \cdot 100 \quad (10)$$

Where $R(\%)$ is the process efficiency (%), m_d is the deposited mass (g), and m_t is the theoretical mass previously calculated (g).

The coating thicknesses were calculated using Equation 11, as described by Dibari (2000).

$$E_D = \frac{m_d}{\rho A} \quad (11)$$

Where E_D is the thickness of the nickel deposit (cm), ρ is the density of nickel (g cm^{-3}), and A is the surface area of the electrode (cm^2).

3.4 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Surface images of the coatings were taken using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) with a Tescan VEGA 3 microscope operated at an accelerating voltage 10 kV, with magnifications of 1000x and 5000x.

3.5 Electrochemical corrosion tests

Electrochemical corrosion tests were conducted in an aqueous 3.0% NaCl solution using a three-electrode electrochemical cell. The setup consisted of an Ag/AgCl reference electrode, a platinum counter electrode, and a working electrode consisting of SAE 1020® steel coated with nickel.

Corrosion resistance was evaluated using linear polarization (LP) within a scanning range of ± 300 mV with respect to the open-circuit potential (OCP), and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) at OCP. Measurements were performed at room temperature over a frequency range from 10,000 Hz to 6 mHz. A 10-minute immersion period was applied prior to each measurement to ensure system stabilization. A Metrohm Autolab® PGSTAT204 potentiostat/galvanostat was used to determine the corrosion parameters: corrosion rate (CR), corrosion potential (E_{corr}), corrosion current per unit of surface area or corrosion current density (j_{corr}), and charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) of the coatings.

4 Results and discussion

The present study on the effects of different anions on the anticorrosion properties of electrodeposits of Ni has been divided into three sections, i.e., the deposition efficiency evaluation, which encompasses electrodeposited mass, coating thickness, and cathodic current efficiency; the morphological analysis to evaluate surface topography; and the electrochemical tests to assess kinetic and thermodynamic parameters to determine E_{corr} , j_{corr} , CR and R_{ct} , complemented by Bode plots.

4.1 Electrodeposited mass, coating thickness, and cathodic current efficiency

Table 3 presents the experimental data for the electrodeposited mass, coating thickness, and cathodic current efficiency of the electrodeposits. In this table, the electrodeposits obtained from electrolytes 1, 2, and 3 (Table 2) are identified as ENC, ENS, and ENN, respectively.

Table 3 ►

Experimental data of electrodeposited masses, thicknesses, and cathodic current efficiencies of the electrodeposits.
Source: research data

Electrodeposits	m_t / mg	m_d / mg	Thicknesses / μm	Efficiencies / %
ENC	31.5	30.7	30.0	97.52
ENS	31.5	29.4	29.0	93.39
ENN	31.5	9.3	9.0	29.54

The data in Table 3 show that ENS and ENC exhibited cathodic current efficiencies characteristic of nickel electrodeposits obtained from Watts-type solutions, which generally yield values above 95% (Fukunaga; Ueda, 2024; Wojciechowski *et al.*, 2017; Rudnik, 2014; Ren *et al.*, 2012; Rusu *et al.*, 2012; Taylor, 2001). In Comparison, the ENN samples showed lower cathodic current efficiency, probably because of the formation of intermediate species like NiOH^+ . These species can move into the solution, taking Ni^{2+} ions with them and making it harder for the nickel to deposit on the surface (Navarro-Aguilar *et al.*, 2020; Muñoz; Salinas, 2003; Jayashree; Kamath, 2001; Streinz *et al.*, 1995).


Furthermore, the coating thickness values shown in Table 3 are consistent with the corresponding cathodic current efficiencies and in agreement with data reported in the literature (Rusu *et al.*, 2012). These results suggest that the nature of the anions present in the electrolyte exerts a significant influence on the nickel electrodeposition process.

4.2 Morphological analysis

All electrodeposits were adherent, uniform, and free from macroscopically detectable defects or discontinuities. However, the ENN samples exhibited a matte and darker surface appearance compared to the others. Figure 1 (next page) illustrates the surface morphologies of electrodeposits.

Figure 1 ►

Morphological analysis obtained by Scanning electron microscopy images: (a) ENC, 1000× magnification, (b) ENC, 5000× magnification, (c) ENS, 1000× magnification, (d) ENS, 5000× magnification, (e) ENN, 1000× magnification, and (f) ENN, 5000× magnification.
Source: authors' archive



The micrographs shown in Figures 1a and 1b indicate that the ENC samples have a uniformly distributed nodular and dendritic surface morphology, typical of nickel electrodeposits. The presence of distinct morphologies suggests competitive growth of metal nuclei, even under conditions of uniform and controlled electrodeposition (Chat-Wilk *et al.*, 2021; Karayannis; Patermarakis, 1995). This outcome is likely related

to stable electrodeposition conditions influenced by current density and electrolyte composition, due to the presence of chloride ions.

Figures 1c and 1d, corresponding to the ENS samples, reveal a predominantly nodular surface morphology, with possible nodule coalescence resulting from the formation of larger nodules than those observed in the ENC samples (Mubshrah *et al.*, 2025; Chat-Wilk *et al.*, 2021; Karayannis; Patermarakis, 1995). This behavior indicates a lower nucleation rate or modifications in the electrolyte composition during electrodeposition, since sulfate anions do not promote anodic dissolution to the same extent as chloride anions.

Figures 1e and 1f show that the ENN samples present a porous surface morphology, with the presence of powdery material. This behavior is commonly observed in aqueous solutions containing nitrate ions (NO_3^-), which, through the action of water, are reduced to nitrite ions (NO_2^-) with the consequent release of OH^- ions, as demonstrated by several authors. This reaction leads to an increase in pH at the electrode–electrolyte interface during electrolysis, generating enough hydroxide to induce precipitation of $\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$, even in buffered electrolytes and under moderately acidic bulk pH conditions (Sarangi *et al.*, 2025; Navarro-Aguilar *et al.*, 2020; E *et al.*, 2016; Jayashree; Kamath, 2001; Murthy *et al.*, 1996; Streinz *et al.*, 1995).

4.3 Electrochemical tests

Table 4 presents the experimental values of the corrosion potential (E_{corr}), corrosion current density (j_{corr}), charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}), and corrosion rate (CR) for the coatings.

Table 4 ►

Experimental data obtained from electrochemical tests.
Source: research data

Electrodeposits	$E_{\text{corr}} / \text{mV}$	$j_{\text{corr}} / \text{mA cm}^{-2}$	$R_{\text{ct}} / \Omega \text{ cm}^2$	$CR / \text{mm year}^{-1}$
ENC	−403	0.0638	1,079	1.375
ENS	−384	0.0076	1,440	0.165
ENN	−706	0.2475	75	5.923

Figure 2 shows the LPP curves for the electrodeposits. The E_{corr} and j_{corr} values were calculated from the intersection of the anodic and cathodic branches, using the Tafel extrapolation method.

Figure 2 ►

LPP curves for ENC (red), ENS (green), and ENN (blue) obtained in 3.0% NaCl solution at 25 °C.
Source: research data





Figure 2 shows that the ENS and ENC samples exhibit more positive E_{corr} values than the ENN, with the values listed in Table 4 typical of nickel coatings obtained from Watts formulations, which usually range between -760 mV and -220 mV (Basori *et al.*, 2025; El Sayed; El-Hendawy; Ibrahim, 2022; Kucharska *et al.*, 2016; Szeptycka; Gajewska-Midzialek; Babul, 2016; Ünal; Karahan, 2018; Rusu *et al.*, 2012; Szczygieł; Kołodziej, 2005). These data indicate an ennoblement of the electrochemical behavior for ENS (-384 mV) compared to ENC (-403 mV), despite the relatively small difference between their corrosion potentials, and a substantially more positive potential than that observed for ENN (-706 mV).

The j_{corr} values in Table 4, in agreement with the E_{corr} results, suggest a lower corrosion rate for ENS (0.0076 mA cm $^{-2}$) compared to ENC (0.0638 mA cm $^{-2}$) and ENN (0.2475 mA cm $^{-2}$). The higher corrosion kinetics observed for ENC in comparison with ENS were associated with its mixed nodular–dendritic surface morphology, since dendritic structures typically increase the electrochemically active surface area. The ENN samples exhibited the highest corrosion rate among the electrodeposits, which was attributed to their porous surface morphology. Thus, the most favorable corrosion performance was associated with ENS, whereas the least favorable performance was observed for ENN under the tested conditions.

Figure 3 presents the Nyquist plots obtained by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy for the electrodeposits.

Figure 3 ►
Nyquist plots for ENC (red), ENS (green), and ENN (blue) obtained in 3% NaCl solution at 25 °C.
Source: research data



The figure shows a single capacitive arc for all samples. The R_{ct} values for ENC and ENS are characteristic of nickel electrodeposits from Watts electrolytes (Rahimi; Sarraf; Soltanieh, 2025; Abbasi-Amandi *et al.*, 2021; Shamshirsaz *et al.*, 2023; Rusu *et al.*, 2012; Mohajeri; Dolati; Rezagholibeiki, 2011) and have magnitudes of the same order: $1,079$ Ω·cm 2 and $1,440$ Ω·cm 2 , respectively. ENN showed a much lower R_{ct} value, with a magnitude of 75 Ω·cm 2 .

The CR presented in Table 4 for ENS (0.165 mm year $^{-1}$), ENC (1.375 mm year $^{-1}$), and ENN (5.923 mm year $^{-1}$) are consistent with the previously presented j_{corr} and R_{ct} values and support the corrosion resistance trends discussed above.

Figure 4 shows the Bode plots obtained by EIS for the electrodeposits: (a) phase angle and (b) impedance magnitude.

Figure 4 ►

Bode diagrams: (a) phase and (b) magnitude for ENC (red), ENS (green), and ENN (blue) obtained in 3.0% NaCl solution at 25 °C.
Source: research data




Figure 4a reveals that ENS exhibited a phase angle (θ) of approximately 65° , with a broader and more stable phase-angle shifted toward lower frequencies when compared to ENC, which showed θ of approximately 55° . The ENN displayed the lowest phase angle, around 42° , with a narrow peak at low frequencies. Figure 4b shows that at lower frequencies, ENS presented the highest impedance modulus ($|Z|$) values, while ENN exhibited the lowest $|Z|$ values.

The data in Figure 4 are consistent with the Nyquist plots and LP curves, confirming the superior corrosion resistance of ENS compared to the other electrodeposits. These results are attributed to the influence of the predominant anions in the electrolytes, which was the main operational parameter varied in the experiments.

5 Conclusion

The experimental conditions employed in this study proved effective in producing nickel electrodeposits with good visual quality, uniform coverage, strong adhesion, and the absence macroscopically detectable defects or discontinuities. It was observed that the predominant anions (Cl^- , SO_4^{2-} , and NO_3^-) in the electrolytes significantly influenced the cathodic current efficiency, surface morphology, and corrosion resistance of the coatings under the tested environment.

Chloride (Cl^-) anions provided superior electrolyte stability by maintaining the concentration of Ni^{2+} ions in solution. This is attributed to their ability to promote anodic corrosion, leading to high cathodic current efficiency, faster deposition rates, and the formation of a mixed nodular and dendritic surface morphology.

Sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) anions also resulted in high cathodic current efficiency, lower than that obtained with chloride, since they do not promote anodic corrosion or maintain electrolyte stability to the same extent. In contrast, nitrate (NO_3^-) anions significantly reduced cathodic current efficiency, likely due to simultaneous side reactions and the formation of nickel hydroxides, which resulted in porous and powdery deposits.

Thermodynamic and kinetic data from corrosion tests demonstrated that the surface morphology of the coatings directly affected their corrosion resistance. The E_{corr} values showed that ENS coatings were more noble than ENC, which were more noble than ENN. Kinetic parameters j_{corr} and corrosion rate (CR) confirmed that ENS exhibited the lowest corrosion rate, followed by ENC and then ENN. Charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) values were consistent with these findings.

The analysis of the Bode plots corroborated the results obtained from linear polarization and impedance spectroscopy tests. ENS coatings exhibited the highest corrosion resistance, ENC coatings were slightly less resistant but still comparable, and ENN coatings showed the lowest resistance.

In summary, the type of anion present plays a pivotal role in the electrodeposition process and determines the properties of the deposited coatings. This study provides useful insights for developing synthesis methods for nickel coatings and encourages further research on how different anions influence nucleation and growth mechanisms. Future investigations employing X-ray Diffraction (XRD) to evaluate crystallographic orientation and grain size would be instrumental in complementing the findings of this study.

Financial support

No significant financial support was received for this work that could have influenced its outcome.

Conflicts of interest

No conflicts of interest are disclosed.

Note

This article extends the research presented in the course completion work from the Bachelor's degree in Interdisciplinary Science and Technology at the Federal Rural University of the Semi-Arid (UFERSA), available at: <https://repositorio.ufersa.edu.br/handle/prefix/4230>.

Author contributions

SILVA, G. P.: Conceptualization and study design; data collection, analysis, and/or interpretation; manuscript drafting and writing. **DANTAS, V. D.:** Conceptualization and study design; data collection, analysis, and/or interpretation; manuscript drafting and writing. **SILVA FILHO, L. F.:** Conceptualization and study design; data collection, analysis, and/or interpretation; manuscript drafting and writing. **SANTOS, Z. M.:** Manuscript drafting and writing; critical revision with substantial intellectual contribution. **LIMA, R. N.:** Manuscript drafting and writing; critical revision with substantial intellectual contribution. **BARROS NETO, E. L.:** Manuscript drafting and writing; critical revision with substantial intellectual contribution.

References

ABBASI-AMANDI, A.; AHMADI, N. P.; OJAGHI-ILKHCHI, M.; ALINEZHADFAR, M. Physical and electrochemical behavior of black nickel coatings in presence of KNO_3 and

imidazole additives. **Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry**, v. 893, 115310, 2021. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2021.115310>.

AHMAD, Z. **Principles of Corrosion Engineering and Corrosion Control**. [S.l.]: Elsevier, 2006. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-7506-5924-6.X5000-4>.

ASTM INTERNATIONAL. **ASTM G102-89(2015)**: Standard Practice for Calculation of Corrosion Rates and Related Information from Electrochemical Measurements. West Conshohocken: ASTM International, 2015. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1520/G0102-89R15E01>.

BARD, A. J.; FAULKNER, L. R. **Electrochemical Methods: Fundamentals and Applications**. 2nd. ed. New York: Wiley, 2000. 864 p.

BASORI; MANSOR, M. R.; AJIRIYANTO, M. K.; KRISWARINI, R.; SOEGIJONO, B.; YUDANTO, S. D.; NANTO, D.; ROSYIDAN, C.; SUSETYO, F. B. Ni Layer Fabrication in Various Temperature of Watts Solution. **Journal of Applied Science of Engineering**, v. 28, n. 4, p. 853-864, 2025. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.6180/jase.202504_28\(4\).0016](http://dx.doi.org/10.6180/jase.202504_28(4).0016).

CHAT-WILK, K.; RUDNIK, E.; WŁOCH, G.; OSUCH, P. Importance of anions in electrodeposition of nickel from gluconate solutions. **Ionics**, v. 27, n. 10, p. 4393-4408, 2021. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11581-021-04166-y>.

DENNIS, J. K.; SUCH, T. E. Electroplating baths and anodes used for industrial nickel deposition. In: DENNIS, J. K.; SUCH, T. E. **Nickel and Chromium Plating**. 3rd. ed. Cambridge: Woodhead Publishing, 1993. p. 41-65. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1533/9781845698638.41>.

DIBARI, G. A. Nickel Plating. **Metal Finishing**, v. 98, n. 1, p. 270-288, 2000. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0026-0576\(00\)80334-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0026-0576(00)80334-7).

E, S. P.; LIU, D.; LAZENBY, R. A.; SLOAN, J.; VIDOTTI, M.; UNWIN, P. R.; MACPHERSON, J. V. Electrodeposition of nickel hydroxide nanoparticles on carbon nanotube electrodes: correlation of particle crystallography with electrocatalytic properties. **The Journal of Physical Chemistry C**, v. 120, n. 29, p. 16059-16068, 2016. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b12741>.

EL-HALLAG, I.; ELSHARKAWY, S.; HAMMAD, S. Electrodeposition of Ni nanoparticles from deep eutectic solvent and aqueous solution as electrocatalyst for methanol oxidation in acidic media. **International Journal of Hydrogen Energy**, v. 46, n. 29, p. 15442-15453, 2021. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.02.049>.

EL SAYED, M. A.; EL-HENDAWY, M. M.; IBRAHIM, M. A. M. Improving the Characteristics of Nickel Coatings Produced on Copper from Watts Bath in the Presence of Ascorbic Acid – Combined Experimental and Theoretical Study. **International Journal of Electrochemical Science**, v. 17, n. 4, 22044, 2022. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.20964/2022.04.12>.

FUKUNAGA, A.; UEDA, S. Pulse electrodeposition of Ni–P alloy coatings from Watts baths: P content, current efficiency, and internal stress. **Electrochimica Acta**, v. 502, 144839, 2024. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2024.144839>.

HALLEMANS, N.; HOWEY, D.; BATTISTEL, A.; SANIEE, N. F.; SCARPIONI, F.; WOUTERS, B.; LA MANTIA, F.; HUBIN, A.; WIDANAGE, W. D.; LATAIRE, J. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy beyond linearity and stationarity—A critical review. **Electrochimica Acta**, v. 466, 142939, 2023. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2023.142939>.

JAYASHREE, R. S.; KAMATH, P. V. Nickel hydroxide electrodeposition from nickel nitrate solutions: mechanistic studies. **Journal of Power Sources**, v. 93, n. 1-2, p. 273-278, 2001. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0378-7753\(00\)00568-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0378-7753(00)00568-1).

JONES, D. A. **Principles and Prevention of Corrosion**. 2. ed. Upper Saddle River: Pearson, 1995. 592 p.

KARAYANNIS, H. S.; PATERMARAKIS, G. Effect of the Cl^- and SO_4^{2-} ions on the selective orientation and structure of Ni electrodeposits, **Electrochimica Acta**, v. 40, n. 9, p. 1079-1092, 1995. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4686\(95\)00028-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4686(95)00028-D).

KUCHARSKA, B.; BROJANOWSKA, A.; POPLAWSKI, K.; SOBIECKI, J. R. Corrosion Resistance of Ni/Al₂O₃ Nanocomposite Coatings. **Materials Science**, v. 22, n. 1, p. 31-35, 2016. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5755/j01.ms.22.1.7407>.

LAZANAS, A. C.; PRODRONIDIS, M. I. Correction to “Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy—A Tutorial”. **ACS Measurement Science Au**, v. 5, n. 1, p. 156-156, 2025. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsmesuresciau.5c00007>.

MARSHALL, A. T. Using microkinetic models to understand electrocatalytic reactions. **Current Opinion in Electrochemistry**, v. 7, p. 75-80, 2018. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.coelec.2017.10.024>.

MOHAJERI, S.; DOLATI, A.; REZAGHOLIBEIKI, S. Electrodeposition of Ni/WC nano composite in sulfate solution. **Materials Chemistry and Physics**, v. 129, n. 3, p. 746-750, 2011. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2011.04.053>.

MUBSHRAH, A.; MARTIN, T.; JONES, C.; SCHWARZACHER, W. Quantitative Analysis of Chloride Ion Influence on the Surface Morphology of Electrodeposited Polycrystalline Nickel Films. **Journal of the Electrochemical Society**, v. 172, n. 3, 032504, 2025. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1149/1945-7111/adb26>.

MUÑOZ, A. G.; SALINAS, D. R. Inhibitory effects of NO_2^- on Ni deposition. **Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry**, v. 547, n. 2, p. 115-124, 2003. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0022-0728\(03\)00159-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0022-0728(03)00159-1).

MURTHY, M.; NAGARAJAN, G. S.; WEIDNER, J. W.; VAN ZEE, J. W. A Model for the Galvanostatic Deposition of Nickel Hydroxide. **Journal of the Electrochemical Society**, v. 143, n. 7, p. 2319-2327, 1996. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1149/1.1837000>.

NAVARRO-AGUILAR, A. I.; RUÍZ-GÓMEZ, M. A.; RODRÍGUEZ-GONZÁLEZ, V.; OBREGÓN, S.; VÁZQUEZ, A. Effect of the $\text{Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ additive on the electrophoretic deposition of NiO nanoparticles. **Ceramics International**, v. 46, n. 18, Part A, p. 28528-28535, 2020. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2020.08.010>.

NICKEL INSTITUTE. **Nickel Plating Handbook**. Toronto: Nickel Institute, 2022. Available at: <https://nickelinstitute.org/media/lxxh1zwr/2023-nickelplatinghandbooka5printablepdf.pdf>. Accessed on: 12 Jan. 2025.

OLIVEIRA, F. G. S.; SANTOS, L. P. M.; SILVA, R. B.; CORREA, M. A.; BOHN, F.; CORREIA, A. N.; VIEIRA, L.; VASCONCELOS, I. F.; LIMA-NETO, P. $\text{Fe}_x\text{Ni}_{(1-x)}$ coatings electrodeposited from choline chloride-urea mixture: magnetic and electrocatalytic properties for water electrolysis. **Materials Chemistry and Physics**, v. 279, 125738, 2022. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2022.125738>.

ORIŇÁKOVÁ, R.; TUROŇOVÁ, A.; KLADEKOVÁ, D.; GÁLOVÁ, M.; SMITH, R. M. Recent developments in the electrodeposition of nickel and some nickel-based alloys. **Journal of Applied Electrochemistry**, v. 36, n. 9, p. 957-972, 2006. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10800-006-9162-7>.

RAHIMI, A.; SARRAF, S.; SOLTANIEH, M. The Effects of Soft Anodizing Pretreatments on the Corrosion Properties of Nickel Electroplated AA6061-T6. **Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance**, v. 34, p. 22829-22842, 2025. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11665-025-10815-4>.

REN, X.-L.; WEI, Q.-F.; LIU, Z.; LIU, J. Electrodeposition conditions of metallic nickel in electrolytic membrane reactor. **Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China**, v. 22, n. 2, p. 467-475, 2012. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s1003-6326\(11\)61200-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s1003-6326(11)61200-4).

ROBERGE, P. R. **Handbook of Corrosion Engineering**. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1999. 1072 p.

ROMANIV, O. N.; TSIRUL'NIK, A. T.; KRY'SKIV, A. S.; RONCHEVICH, I. C. Electrochemical methods in corrosion monitoring of metals (review). **Soviet Materials Science**, v. 25, n. 1, p. 1-12, 1989. Springer Science and Business Media LLC. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf00727915>.

RUDNIK, E. Black Nickel Coatings: from plating techniques to applications. **Coatings**, v. 14, n. 12, 1588, 2024. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/coatings14121588>.

RUDNIK, E. The influence of sulfate ions on the electrodeposition of Ni-Sn alloys from acidic chloride-gluconate baths. **Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry**, v. 726, p. 97-106, 2014. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2014.05.021>.

RUSU, D. E.; ISPAS, A.; BUND, A.; GHEORGHIES, C.; CÂRÂC, G. Corrosion tests of nickel coatings prepared from a Watts-type bath. **Journal of Coatings Technology and Research**, v. 9, n. 1, p. 87-95, 2012. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11998-011-9343-0>.

SARANGI, C. K.; ACHARY, G. L.; SUBBAIAH, T.; PARAMGURU, R. K.; ROY, S. K. Role of Electrochemical Precipitation Parameters in Developing Mixed-Phase Battery-Grade Nickel Hydroxide. **Electrochem**, v. 6, n. 1, 2, 2025. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/electrochem6010002>.

SHAMSHIRSAZ, M.; FERIDOON, A.; ALBOOYEH, A.; DANAEI, I. The Effect of Ni- Al_2O_3 Nanocomposite Coatings on the Wear and Corrosion Resistance and Thermal Diffusivity of 316 Stainless Steel Plates of Heat Exchangers. **Journal of Materials**

Engineering and Performance, v. 32, n. 4, p. 1529-1544, 2023. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11665-022-07242-0>.

SHEETAL; KUNDU, S.; THAKUR, S.; SINGH, A. K.; SINGH, M.; PANI, B.; SAJI, V. S. A Review of Electrochemical Techniques for Corrosion Monitoring – Fundamentals and Research Updates. **Critical Reviews in Analytical Chemistry**, v. 55, n. 1, p. 161-186, 2025. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10408347.2023.2267671>.

STREINZ, C. C.; HARTMAN, A. P.; MOTUPALLY, S.; WEIDNER, J. W. The Effect of Current and Nickel Nitrate Concentration on the Deposition of Nickel Hydroxide Films. **Journal of the Electrochemical Society**, v. 142, n. 4, p. 1084-1089, 1995. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1149/1.2044134>.

SZCZYGIEL, B.; KOŁODZIEJ, M. Composite Ni/Al₂O₃ coatings and their corrosion resistance. **Electrochimica Acta**, v. 50, n. 20, p. 4188-4195, 2005. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2005.01.040>.

SZEPTYCKA, B.; GAJEWSKA-MIDZIALEK, A.; BABUL, T. Electrodeposition and Corrosion Resistance of Ni-Graphene Composite Coatings. **Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance**, v. 25, n. 8, p. 3134-3138, 2016. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11665-016-2009-4>.

TAYLOR, S. R. Coatings for Corrosion Protection: Metallic. In: BUSCHOW, K. H. J.; FLEMINGS, M. C.; KRAMER, E. J.; VEYSSIÈRE, P.; CAHN, R. W.; ILSCHNER, B.; MAHAJAN, S. **Encyclopedia of Materials: Science and Technology**. 2nd. ed. Oxford: Pergamon, 2001. p. 1-5. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/b0-08-043152-6/00239-4>.

ÜNAL, E.; KARAHAN, İ. H. Production and characterization of electrodeposited Ni-B/hBN composite coatings. **Surface and Coatings Technology**, v. 333, p. 125-137, 2018. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2017.11.016>.

WALTER, G. W. A review of impedance plot methods used for corrosion performance analysis of painted metals. **Corrosion Science**, v. 26, n. 9, p. 681-703, 1986. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0010-938x\(86\)90033-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0010-938x(86)90033-8).

WANG, D.; LI, F.; SHI, Y.; LIU, M.; LIU, B.; CHANG, Q. Optimization of the Preparation Parameters of High-Strength Nickel Layers by Electrodeposition on Mild Steel Substrates. **Materials**, v. 14, n. 18, 5461, 2021. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ma14185461>.

WOJCIECHOWSKI, J.; BARANIAK, M.; PERNAK, J.; LOTA, G. Nickel Coatings Electrodeposited from Watts Type Baths Containing Quaternary Ammonium Sulphate Salts. **International Journal of Electrochemical Science**, v. 12, n. 4, p. 3350-3360, 2017. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.20964/2017.04.70>.